Cite this article as: Chin. J. Catal., 2012, 33: 1125-1132.



ARTICLE

Role of CeO₂ in Three-Component Au/CeO₂/SiO₂ Composite Catalyst for Low-Temperature CO Oxidation

ZHANG Huili, REN Lihui, LU Anhui, LI Wencui*

State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Chemical, Environmental and Biological Science and Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, Liaoning, China

Abstract: Hierarchical composite nanostructure composed of Au, CeO₂, and SiO₂ was fabricated by sequentially depositing ceria nanoparticles through impregnation and calcination, and then gold nanoparticles through a deposition-precipitation method on hierarchical monolithic silica (HMS) with multi-length scale pore structure. The Au/CeO₂/HMS composite nanostructure was characterized by X-ray diffraction, temperature-programmed reduction, and diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy. The results indicate that the presence of ceria had a significant effect on targeted deposition and stabilization of small metallic gold nanoparticles on the support. The temperature for complete conversion of CO to CO₂ over Au/CeO₂/HMS is ca. 60 $^{\circ}$ C at a space velocity of 80000 ml/(g·h). The highly dispersed metallic gold nanoparticles can activate CO and the small ceria nanoparticles supply oxygen in the reaction. The catalytic activity remains considerably stable during 48 h stability testing. The interaction between gold and ceria contributed greatly to CO oxidation and the presence of silica improved the stability of the gold catalyst.

Key words: silica; carbon monoxide oxidation; gold; ceria; stability

In the past years gold nanocatalysis has been extensively studied for low temperature CO oxidation [1–4], selective oxidation [5], selective hydrogenation [6], water-gas shift reaction [7], and decomposition of NO_x [8]. Besides the size of the gold particles and the preparation methods, the nature of the support is also considered to be a key factor affecting the catalytic activity and stability of supported gold catalysts. Though transition metal oxide supported gold shows very high activity in many reactions, such kind of catalyst is considered to be susceptible to deactivation [9–11]. For example, the deactivation of Au/CeO₂ catalysts is primarily attributed to the blockage of active sites by carbonates and/or formates, the formation of which is facilitated by oxygen deficient sites on ceria surfaces [12].

Silica materials are commonly used as catalyst support with many excellent properties such as high thermal stability and high surface area (higher than those of reducible oxides) [13,14]. However, due to the low value of the point of zero charge (PZC) of SiO₂ (close to 2), the surface of silica is charged negatively at a pH higher than that value [15]. At the higher pH needed to precipitate Au(OH)3 the highly negatively charged surface of SiO₂ does not allow the adsorption of $[Au(OH)_n Cl_{4-n}]^-$ species onto the support surface, which is necessary for the formation and stabilization of small gold particles [16]. Au/mesoporous SiO₂ samples have been synthesized via several alternative routes to overcome this barrier: (1) modification of mesoporous SiO_2 by organic functional groups followed by loading gold [17]; (2) using Au(en)₂Cl₃ as the precursor [18]; (3) loading gold nanoparticles by vapor deposition on the surface of silica instead of using wet-chemistry methods [19,20]; (4) mixing Au^{3+} [21–25] or gold colloids [26-29] with SiO₂ source to facilitate the incorporation of gold nanoparticles in a silica matrix; (5) using ammonia as the precipitating agent so that the formed gold species was an ammino-hydroxo or an ammino-hydroxo-aquo gold cation complex $[Au(NH_3)_2(H_2O)_{2-x}(OH)_x]^{(3-x)+}$ [30]. In

Received 14 February 2012. Accepted 26 March 2012.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel/Fax: +86-411-84986140; E-mail: wencuili@dlut.edu.cn

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20973031), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University of China (NCET-08-0075), and the Ph.D. Programs Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (20100041110017).

Copyright © 2012, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier BV. All rights reserved. DOI: 10.1016/S1872-2067(11)60399-9

addition, a non-reducible and inherently "inert" SiO_2 support does not supply reactive oxygen for CO oxidation. In contrast, CoO_x , Fe_2O_3 , ZnO, NaOH, CeO_2 , and similar supports are reducible, inherently "active" and are thought to activate and store oxygen [31–35].

Recently, Carrettin et al. [36] reported that Au deposited on nanocrystalline particles of CeO₂ showed an increase of two orders of magnitude in the catalytic activity relative to the Au/CeO₂ catalysts prepared by Au deposition on a regular CeO₂ support. However, Moreau et al. [37] have demonstrated that the gold particles supported on pure metal oxides (e.g. CeO₂) are less stable than those supported on mixed oxide supports. Hence, Qian et al. [38] prepared CeO₂/SiO₂ supports by different methods and pretreated these supports at varying temperatures. After Au loading, the Au/CeO₂/SiO₂ catalysts showed a good stability; however, CO conversion reached only 10% at about 60 °C.

In the present study, we chose hierarchical monolithic silica (HMS) as matrix to combine with a small amount of ceria for supporting gold nanoparticles. Noticeably, HMS has unique properties (i.e. multi-length scale pore structures with fully developed and interconnected macropores and mesopores) [39], which are beneficial for mass transfer. In this way a highly active three-component Au/CeO₂/HMS composite catalyst for CO oxidation was prepared. Detailed characterization gave insight into the adsorpotion sites of CO and the role of ceria in the composite catalyst.

1 Experimental

1.1 Preparation of hierarchical composite nanostructure

The HMS was prepared according to the established procedure [39,40]. Briefly, tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) and polyethylene glycol (PEG) ($M_w \approx 35000$) were dissolved in aqueous nitric acid. The molar ratio of the starting composition was TEOS:HNO₃:H₂O:PEG = 1.00:0.25:14.69:7.77 × 10⁻⁴. The sol was aged at 40 °C for 3 d to form the gelled monolith. The monolith was treated for 9 h in a 1.0 mol/L NH₄OH solution at 90 °C, and then neutralized with 0.1 mol/L HNO₃ and washed with acetone. The product HMS was collected after a drying at 40 °C and then calcined at 550 °C under air.

An aqueous solution of $Ce(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ was used as the ceria precursor and impregnated into this monolithic silica HMS. The sample was dried at 50 °C for 4 h and then calcined at 300 °C for 2 h in a muffle oven under air to obtain CeO₂/HMS composites. Gold nanoparticles were deposited on the as-synthesized CeO₂/HMS composites using a deposition-precipitation (DP) method with urea as the precipitating agent. Typically, 0.3 g composite support was added to 25 ml aqueous solution of HAuCl₄ and urea (molar ratio of urea:Au = 100:1). The suspension was then heated to 80 °C under vigor-

ous stirring for 6 h. Finally the obtained product was filtered and washed with pure water. The resulting powder was dried at room temperature overnight in a vacuum desiccator. The obtained catalyst was denoted as Au/CeO₂/HMS. Approximately 3 wt% of gold and 10 wt% of ceria were deposited on the silica support. For comparison, silica alone was also used as support for gold nanoparticles loaded using the DP method as described above. The obtained catalyst was denoted as Au/HMS.

1.2 Characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on a Philips X'pert PRO X-ray diffractometer (Cu K_{α} radiation, $\lambda =$ 0.154178 nm). N₂ adsorption was performed at -196 °C using a Micromeritics Tristar 3000 instrument following sample pre-treatment at 120 °C under vacuum for 4 h. The surface area (A_{BET}) was calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) model. The spent catalyst was characterized with a Hitachi HF2000 high resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) equipped with a cold field emission emitter at a beam energy of 200 kV and a cooled Si(Li) energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometer from ThermoNoran Instruments for point resolved elemental analysis. More than 18 points were tested in order to obtain statistically reliable data. The diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) experiments were carried out on a Bruker Vector 22 FTIR spectrometer equipped with an MCT detector and a Harrick diffuse reflectance accessory. In situ DRIFT measurements were performed under the same conditions as the catalytic activity measurements. Background signals from gas-phase CO were subtracted from the reported spectra. Temperature programmed reduction (H2-TPR) experiments were performed on a home-made device using a 8% H₂-92% N₂ mixture (30 ml/min flow) at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Sieved catalyst (40 mg, 250-500 µm) was used. The sample was pretreated at 150 ^oC for 2 h and then cooled to room temperature in N₂ at a rate of 30 ml/min. The consumption of H₂ during the TPR experiment was measured by a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed on an Axis Ultra instrument of Kratos. The binding energies (BE) were referenced to the C 1s peak (284.9 eV) to account for the charging effect. The gold content of the catalysts was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES) on an Optima 2000DV instrument.

1.3 Catalytic activity measurement

The catalytic activities in CO oxidation were measured using 50 mg of sieved composite (250–500 μ m) in a gas mixture (1% CO, 20% O₂, and N₂ remainder at a flow rate of 67 ml/min, corresponding to a space velocity of 80000 ml/(g·h)). The



Fig. 1. SEM image of HMS.

reactant and product composition were analyzed by a GC 7890T gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. Before catalytic tests, the composites were pretreated in a mixture of nitrogen and hydrogen (6:1 volume ratio, flow rate of 40 ml/min) at 250 °C for 2 h.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Nanostructure and catalytic performance of Au/CeO₂/HMS

The hierarchical structure of the HMS is characterized by SEM and nitrogen adsorption measurement. Figure 1 shows the SEM image of HMS. The fully interconnected, sponge-like macroporosity of the silica monolith can be clearly seen in Fig. 1.

The mesoporosity of the monolith was verified by measurement of nitrogen adsorption isotherm (Fig. 2). The nitrogen uptake at relative pressures above $p/p_0 = 0.9$ is due to filling of the textural pores. The pore size distribution is based on the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) model and shows this silica monolith has the peak pore diameter around 24 nm in size. Combining the characterization results of SEM and nitrogen



Fig. 2. N₂ adsorption isotherm and pore size distribution of HMS.



Fig. 3. XRD pattern of Au/CeO₂/HMS.

adsorption, the hierarchical structure (mesopores and macropores) of this HMS can be confirmed. The determined BET surface area of this HMS is 256 m²/g. After introduction of ceria and gold nanoparticles, the surface area of Au/CeO₂/HMS is lowered to 179 m²/g.

The prepared Au/CeO₂/HMS catalyst was characterized by XRD and the result is shown in Fig. 3. The peaks at $2\theta = 28.6^{\circ}$, 33.1°, 47.5°, and 56.3° are ascribed to the (111), (200), (220), and (311) planes of ceria, respectively. The peaks at $2\theta = 38.2^{\circ}$ and 44.3° indicate the existence of metallic gold nanoparticles. The broad reflection peaks indicate that the particle sizes of both ceria and gold are small. The estimated gold and ceria particle size (using the Scherrer equation) of the Au/CeO₂/HMS is ca. 2.5 and 5 nm, respectively.

The Au/CeO₂/HMS catalyst was tested in the CO oxidation reaction. For comparison the Au/HMS catalyst was also tested. The catalytic activities are displayed in Fig. 4. The results show that no obvious CO conversion was observed for the Au/HMS catalyst in the temperature range of 10 to 150 °C. After introducing 10 wt% ceria on the silica surface, Au/CeO₂/HMS exhibited a high activity with a complete conversion of CO to CO₂ ($T_{100\%}$) at ca. 60 °C, demonstrating the promoting effect of



Fig. 4. Performance of Au/CeO₂/HMS and Au/HMS in the CO oxidation reaction. Reaction conditions: 50 mg of catalyst in a gas mixture (1% CO, 20% O₂, and N₂ balance) at a flow rate of 67 ml/min, corresponding to a space velocity of 80000 ml/(g·h).



Fig. 5. TEM images of Au/HMS (a) and Au/CeO₂/HMS (b), and a representative EDX result of Au/CeO₂/HMS (c).

ceria. The TOF of the Au/CeO₂/HMS was calculated as about $0.32 \times 10^{-3} h^{-1}$ at 20 °C, which lies between the values of Au/SiO₂ (0.26×10^{-3} at 20 °C) [41] and Au/CeO₂ catalysts (1.24×10^{-3} at 20 °C) [42].

The content of gold in the Au/HMS composite was determined as 4.05 wt% by the ICP-AES technique. However, large gold nanoparticles with 10-40 nm size were observed by TEM measurement after catalytic testing (Fig. 5(a)), which should be related to the poor catalytic activity for CO oxidation [43]. In contrast, in the TEM image of the Au/CeO2/HMS composite (Fig. 5(b)), the gold and ceria nanoparticles are homogeneously dispersed on the silica support and largely located within the mesopores. Most particles are quite small and in the range of 2-5 nm even after the catalytic test, which is consistent with the value calculated from the XRD patterns. In order to verify whether the active components were homogeneously distributed over the entire samples, EDX analysis was performed and more than 18 points were randomly recorded by EDX from different parts of the sample. A representative result is shown in Fig. 5(c). It can be seen that the EDX results of the composite nanostructure also show the uniform and high dispersion degree of gold and ceria on the entire silica support. The sam-



Fig. 6. Stability of Au/CeO₂/HMS composite. The stability test was performed first at 60 °C in a gas mixture (1% CO, 20% O₂, and N₂) at a space velocity of 80000 ml/(g·h) for 800 min (1), and then at 30 and 50 °C in sequence (2).

ple Au/CeO₂/HMS possesses homogenous dispersion with average contents of 3.02 wt% gold and 9.80 wt% ceria. These are almost identical with the theoretical amounts according to the preparation.

In addition, the stability of the Au/CeO₂/HMS catalyst was evaluated to confirm whether both the gold and ceria active components could withstand long-time operation without an obvious loss of activity. Firstly, the sample was continuously run in the reactant mixture for 800 min at 60 °C (Fig. 6). During the catalytic run the conversion remained almost constant (100%) at the temperature of 60 °C. The composite was then stored in the reactor under nitrogen atmosphere overnight. Subsequently, the sample was tested at temperatures of 30 and 50 °C, respectively. The resulting CO conversion only showed a slight decrease in catalytic activity. After 2 d testing at different conditions no obvious decrease in CO conversion confirmed that this catalyst is stable under the present reaction conditions.

2.2 Mechanistic insight into the action of the composite nanostructure

In order to precisely understand the role of ceria, HR-TEM, H_2 -TPR, in-situ DRIFT, and XPS measurements were used to characterize the properties of the catalyst Au/CeO₂/HMS. HR-TEM measurements allow us to distinguish between the



Fig. 7. HR-TEM image of Au/CeO₂/HMS after catalytic measurement.



Fig. 8. H₂-TPR profiles of Au/HMS, CeO₂/HMS, and Au/CeO₂/HMS. Signal intensities of Au/HMS are reduced to 50% of the original intensities.

ceria and gold nanoparticles based on the lattice fringes. As can be seen in Fig. 7, the spacing of 0.32 nm is ascribed to the (111) planes of ceria, while 0.23 nm is assigned to the (111) planes of gold. The gold nanoparticles in Au/CeO₂/HMS are mostly deposited on or near the surface of the ceria, which gives a highly active CO oxidation catalyst [44].

The interaction between ceria and gold can also be verified by H₂-TPR profiles. As shown in Fig. 8, the peak at 180 °C in the Au/HMS trace should be due to the reduction of Au³⁺ to Au⁺/Au⁰ [45]. After introducing ceria into the silica the sample CeO₂/HMS shows distinct hydrogen uptake peaks, demonstrating the reducible nature of the support. The first peak at 50 °C should be due to the reduction of the surface oxygen on ceria [44]. Ying et al. [46] interpreted that the peak (from 350 to 600 °C) is due to the reduction of surface-capping oxygen of CeO₂, and the peak observed above 600 °C is typical of the reduction of bulk-phase lattice oxygen. Qusmane et al. [47] attributed the peak at 440 °C to the reduction of surface ceria not interacting with gold while reduction of bulk ceria occurs at 820 °C. So the peaks of 400 and 600 °C correspond to the reduction of surface-capping oxygen and surface ceria not interacting with gold.

After gold loading, the reduction of Au^{3+} to Au^+/Au^0 and ceria in the Au/CeO₂/HMS catalyst is much easier. The lowering of the reduction temperature implies that the introduction of gold helps to weaken the surface oxygen bond in ceria, which means that the transfer of oxygen atoms across the solid-gas interface during the reaction is facilitated [8]. In addition, the presence of ceria on the silica has a strong impact on the formation and stability of supported metallic gold particles [45]. Above all, the composite catalyst works much like pure Au/CeO₂ [39].

Furthermore, DRIFT spectra of Au/CeO₂/HMS and Au/HMS were collected and the results are shown in Fig. 9. In Fig. 9(a) and (b) we can see the bands at $2800-3500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which can be ascribed to hydroxyl groups mainly on the ceria surface. Hydroxyl groups are proposed as the intermediate species during CO oxidation [49,50]. Generally, the presence of a band at 2112 cm⁻¹ is assigned to CO adsorbed on metallic gold sites while a band of 2142 cm⁻¹ indicates CO adsorbed on cationic gold [51]. However, the CO interacting with the CeO₂ support also yields a characteristic IR band at about 2133 cm⁻¹ for Ce³⁺ ions [36,52] and 2148 cm⁻¹ for Ce⁴⁺ ions [53], respectively. From Fig. 9(a) one can see that the sample Au/HMS does not show any bands of CO adsorbed on metallic or cationic gold sites within the temperature range of 30-120 °C. This is in agreement with the catalytic activity result of Au/HMS (Fig. 4). After introducing ceria into the silica the Au/CeO₂/HMS shows CO adsorption at bands of 2114 cm⁻¹



Fig. 9. DRIFT spectra of the Au/HMS (a) and Au/CeO₂/HMS (b, c) catalysts.



Fig. 10. XPS profiles of fresh, reduced, and used Au/CeO₂/HMS.

(metallic gold) and 2136 cm⁻¹ (cationic gold, Fig. 9(b)). The bands at 2136 cm⁻¹ can also be related to CO adsorptions on Ce³⁺ ions of the CeO₂ support. Considering the Au/CeO₂/HMS was pretreated in a mixed atmosphere of nitrogen and hydrogen at 250 °C for 2 h before DRIFT measurement, the band at 2136 cm⁻¹ should assigned to the CO adsorption on Ce³⁺ ions. After magnification of this part as Fig. 9(c) it can be seen that upon increasing the reaction temperature, the band at 2114 cm⁻¹ becomes gradually weaker, demonstrating a lower steady state amount of CO being present on the catalyst, i.e. the adsorbed CO is more rapidly removed again by oxidation.

The chemical states of the Au species on fresh, reduced, and spent catalysts were investigated by XPS. The fitted Au 4*f* curve of the spectrum of the fresh catalyst (Fig. 10) consists of distinct peaks. The doublet at 83.6 and 87.4 eV is the feature of metallic Au⁰ species [54]. The doublet at 85.6 and 89.2 eV is assigned to cationic Au^{δ^+} species. The atomic ratio of Au^{δ^+}/Au of this catalyst is 0.54, which was calculated based on the peak areas of Au^{δ^+} and metallic Au. The XPS profiles of Au/CeO₂/HMS after the reduction and catalytic test show a doublet at 83.0 and 86.8 eV with a BE shift of -0.6 eV, which can be assigned to metallic gold species. The negative BE shift of Au 4*f* indicates that the gold nanoparticles possess negative charges, which could be related to the interaction between gold nanoparticles and oxygen vacancies of ceria [55], as reflected in the H₂-TPR results. The nature of the active sites and oxidation state of the catalytically active Au species are still controversial in the literature. For example, Costello et al. [56] believe that Au^0 is necessary for catalytic activity in CO oxidation, while Guzman et al. [57] proposed that the cationic gold species are responsible for CO oxidation. Even when the same support was used, contradictory results concerning the role of the Au species in CO oxidation were obtained [49,58]. From the present investigation, combining the results of the XPS and in-situ DRIFT measurements, one can conclude that CO is only adsorbed and activated by metallic gold on the Au/CeO₂/HMS catalyst. A possible explanation could be that the highly dispersed metallic gold nanoparticles can activate CO and the small ceria nanoparticles supply oxygen in the reaction.

3 Conclusions

The hierarchically nanostructured composite Au/CeO₂/HMS was fabricated and exhibited a high CO oxidation activity. The presence of ceria had a significant effect on controlled on target deposition and the stabilization of small metallic gold nanoparticles on the silica support. The interaction between gold and ceria was verified by H₂-TPR, DRIFT, and XPS. It can be concluded that CO was only adsorbed and activated by metallic gold. The highly dispersed metallic gold nanoparticles can activate CO and the small ceria nanoparticles supply oxygen in the reaction. The presence of silica improved the stability of the gold catalyst.

Acknowledgement

We are highly grateful to Prof. F. Schüth for helpful discussion and to Mr. B. Spliethoff for TEM measurements.

References

- 1 Haruta M, Yamada N, Kobayashi T, Iijima S. J Catal, 1989, 115: 301
- 2 Chen Z, Gao Q M. Appl Catal B, 2008, 84: 790
- 3 Wang G H, Li W C, Jia K M, Spliethoff B, Schüth F, Lu A H. *Appl Catal A*, 2009, **364**: 42
- 4 Yoon B, Häkkinen H, Landman U, Wörz A S, Antonietti J M, Abbet S, Judai K, Heiz U. *Science*, 2005, **307**: 403
- 5 Hayashi T, Tanaka K, Haruta M. J Catal, 1998, 178: 566
- 6 Bailie J E, Hutchings G J. Chem Commun, 1999: 2151
- 7 Tabakova T, Idakiev V, Andreeva D, Mitov I. *Appl Catal A*, 2000, **202**: 91
- 8 Yan L, Zhang X M, Ren T, Zhang H P, Wang X L, Suo J H. *Chem Commun*, 2002: 860
- 9 Costello C K, Kung M C, Oh H S, Wang Y, Kung H H. Appl Catal A, 2002, 232: 159
- 10 Guo Q L, Luo K, Davis K A, Goodman D W. Surf Interface Anal, 2001, 32: 161

- 11 Wang G Y, Lian H L, Zhang W X, Jiang D Z, Wu T H. *Kinet Catal*, 2002, **43**: 433
- 12 Kim C H, Thompson L T. J Catal, 2005, 230: 66
- 13 Zhu H G, Ma Z, Clark J C, Pan Z W, Overbury S H, Dai S. Appl Catal A, 2007, 326: 89
- 14 Wang H P, Liu C J. Appl Catal B, 2011, 106: 672
- 15 Wolf A, Schüth F. Appl Catal A, 2002, 226: 1
- 16 Penkova A, Martínez Blanes J M, Cruz S A, Centeno M A, Hadjiivanov K, Odriozola J A. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater*, 2009, **117**: 530
- 17 Lee B, Ma Z, Zhang Z T, Park C, Dai S. Microporous Mesoporous Mater, 2009, 122: 160
- 18 Zhu H G, Liang C D, Yan W F, Overbury S H, Dai S. J Phys Chem B, 2006, 110: 10842
- 19 Veith G M, Lupini A R, Rashkeev S, Pennycook S J, Mullins D R, Schwartz V, Bridges C A, Dudney N J. J Catal, 2009, 262: 92
- 20 Okumura M, Nakamura S, Tsubota S, Nakamura T, Azuma M, Haruta M. Catal Lett, 1998, 51: 53
- 21 Hu J C, Chen L F, Zhu K K, Suchopar A, Richards R. Catal Today, 2007, 122: 277
- 22 Magureanu M, Mandache N B, Hu J C, Richards R, Florea M, Parvulescu V I. *Appl Catal B*, 2007, **76**: 275
- 23 Lu G M, Ji D, Qian G, Qi Y X, Wang X L, Suo J S. Appl Catal A, 2005, 280: 175
- 24 Lee B, Zhu H, Zhang Z, Overbury S H, Dai S. Microporous Mesoporous Mater, 2004, 70: 71
- 25 Zhu H, Lee B, Dai S, Overbury S H. Langmuir, 2003, 19: 3974
- 26 Aprile C, Abad A, García H, Corma A. J Mater Chem, 2005, 15: 4408
- 27 Kónya Z, Puntes V F, Kiricsi I, Zhu J, Ager III J W, Ko M K, Frei H, Alivisatos P, Somorjai G A. *Chem Mater*, 2003, 15:1242
- 28 Zhu J, Kónya Z, Puntes V F, Kiricsi I, Miao C X, Ager J W, Alivisatos A P, Somorjai G A. *Langmuir*, 2003, 19: 4396
- 29 Lin H P, Chi Y S, Lin J N, Mou C Y, Wan B Z. Chem Lett, 2001: 1116
- 30 Su J X, Zhang Sh P, Ma L Y, Qu W, Zhang M B. Chin J Catal, 2010, 31: 839
- 31 Qian K, Huang W X, Jiang Z Q, Sun H X. J Catal, 2007, 248: 137
- 32 Qian K, Huang W X, Fang J, Lv S S, He B, Jiang Z Q, Wei S Q. J Catal, 2008, 255: 269
- 33 Qian K, Zhang W H, Sun H X, Fang J, He B, Ma Y S, Jiang Z Q, Wei S Q, Yang J L, Huang W X. J Catal, 2011, 277: 95
- 34 Zou X H, Xu J G, Qi S X, Suo Z H, An L D, Li F. J Nat Gas

Chem, 2011, 20: 41

- 35 Shan W J, Liu Ch, Guo H J, Yang L H, Wang X N, Feng Zh Ch. *Chin J Catal*, 2011, **32**: 1336
- 36 Carrettin S, Concepción P, Corma A, López Nieto J M, Puntes V F. Angew Chem, Int Ed, 2004, 43: 2538
- 37 Moreau F, Bond G C, Vander Linden B, Silberova B A A, Makkee M. Appl Catal A, 2008, 347: 208
- 38 Qian K, Lva S S, Xiao X Y, Sun H X, Lu J Q, Luo M F, Huang W X. J Mol Catal A, 2009, **306**: 40
- 39 Smått J H, Schunk S, Lindén M. Chem Mater, 2003, 15: 2354
- 40 Lu A H, Smått J H, Lindén M. Adv Funct Mater, 2005, 15: 865
- 41 Somodi F, Borbáth I, Hegedús M, Tompos A, Sajó I E, Szegedi A, Rojas S, Fierro J L G, Margitfalvi J L. *Appl Catal A*, 2008, 347: 216
- 42 Zhang R R, Ren L H, Lu A H, Li W C. *Catal Commun*, 2011, 13: 18
- 43 Haruta M. Gold Bull, 2004, 37: 27
- 44 Pillai U R, Deevi S. Appl Catal A, 2006, 299: 266
- 45 Hernandez J A, Pawelec S G B, Zepeda T A. Appl Catal B, 2009, 89: 128
- 46 Ying F, Wang S J, Au C T, Lai S Y. Microporous Mesoporous Mater, 2011, 142: 308
- 47 Ousmane M, Liotta L F, Di Carlo G, Pantaleo G, Venezia A M, Deganello G, Retailleau L, Boreave A, Giroir-Fendler A. *Appl Catal B*, 2011, **101**: 629
- 48 Fu Q, Kudriavtseva S, Saltsburg H, Flytzani-Stephanopoulos M. Chem Eng J, 2003, 93: 41
- 49 Romero-Sarria F, Martínez T L M, Centeno M A, Odriozola J A. J Phys Chem C, 2007, 111: 14469
- 50 Karpenko A, Denkwitz Y, Plzak V, Cai J, Leppelt R, Schumacher B, Behm R J. *Catal Lett*, 2007, **116**: 105
- 51 Wu Z L, Zhou S H, Zhu H G, Dai S, Overbury S H. *J Phys Chem C*, 2009, **113**: 3726
- 52 Tabakova T, Boccuzzi F, Manzoli M, Andreeva D. Appl Catal A, 2006, 252: 385
- 53 Concepción P, Carrettin S, Corma A. *Appl Catal A*, 2006, **307**: 42
- 54 Park E D, Lee J S. J Catal, 1999, 186: 1
- 55 Vindigni F, Manzoli M, Chiorino A, Boccuzzi F. Gold Bull, 2009, 42: 106
- 56 Costello C K, Guzman J, Yang J H, Wang Y M, Kung M C, Gates B C, Kung H H. *J Phys Chem B*, 2004, **108**: 12529
- 57 Guzman J, Gates B C. J Am Chem Soc, 2004, 126: 2672
- 58 Dekkers M A P, Lippits M J, Nieuwenhuys B E. Catal Lett, 1998, 56: 195